

Psyche Reporting Rating and Analysis

Timothy Mussack
Director, AMA Analysis and PD Valuations
Bradford & Barthel, LLP

Dr. Ron Heredia
Chief Operating Officer
Psychology Instructor
Dr. Bruce Leckart & Associates

Follow Us

Bradford & Barthel
Bradford & Barthel, LLP
@bradfordbarthel
Bradford & Barthel
bradfordbarthellp

BLOG Articles
bradfordbarthel.blogspot.com/

AMA Rating Department
bradfordbarthel.com/areas-of-practice/bb-ratings/

CE Videos
bradfordbarthel.com/education/

CE Webinars
bradfordbarthel.com/education/

Today's Speakers

<p>Timothy Mussack Director, AMA Analysis and PD Valuations Bradford & Barthel, LLP</p> 	<p>Dr. Ron Heredia Chief Operating Officer Psychology Instructor Dr. Bruce Leckart & Associates</p> 
---	--

Psychiatric Injuries: Report Analysis and Rating

This session will cover analysis of psychiatric permanent disability; how to identify and address major flaws in a psych report.

Part I – Review of a Report for Rating

Part II – How to Identify and Further Address Flaws in a Psych Report

www.bradfordbarthel.com

Part I

Part I – Review of a Psyche Report for Rating



www.bradfordbarthel.com

Case Evaluation - PD

- LC 3208.3
 - Compensability
- LC 4660.1
 - DOI on or after 1/1/2013



www.bradfordbarthel.com

7

Psyche

AMA Guides Chapter 14

- “Percentages are not provided...”
- “...there are no precise measures of impairment in mental disorders...” (page 361)




www.bradfordbarthel.com

8



- American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
- DSM-IV-TR published July 2000



www.bradfordbarthel.com

9

Chapter 13 or Chapter 14

- Cerebral Impairments from a Neurological injury
- Psychiatric injury




www.bradfordbarthel.com

10

Axis I through V

Axis V was an assessment of overall functioning known as the GAF.



MENTAL HEALTH EXAM



www.bradfordbarthel.com

11

Cover	MSB Online	Intro	Impairment	WPI x 1.4	FEC Table	Occupation	Occ. Var.	Occ. Adj.	Age	Examples	CYC
GAF WPI	GAF WPI	GAF WPI	GAF WPI								
1 90	84	83	87	5						100	0
2 89	83	81	85	3							
3 88	82	80	83	2							
4 88	81	79	83	0							
5 87	80	78	82	0							
6 87	79	77	81	0							
7 86	78	76	80	0							
8 85	77	75	79	0							
9 84	76	74	78	0							
10 84	75	73	77	0							
11 83	74	72	76	0							
12 82	73	71	75	0							
13 82	72	70	74	0							
14 81	71	69	73	0							
15 80	70	68	72	0							
16 80	69	67	71	0							
17 79	68	66	70	0							
18 78	67	65	69	0							
19 77	66	64	68	0							
20 77	65	63	67	0							
21 76	64	62	66	0							
22 76	63	61	65	0							
23 75	62	60	64	0							
24 74	61	59	63	0							
25 73	60	58	62	0							
26 73	59	57	61	0							
27 72	58	56	60	0							
28 71	57	55	59	0							
29 71	56	54	58	0							
30 70	55	53	57	0							
31 69	54	52	56	0							
32 67	53	51	54	0							
33 65	51	49	52	0							



1-16

12

Rating **EXAMPLE**

- Date of birth: 1/15/1949
- Date of injury: 3/22/2014
- Hotel porter with Psyche injury with GAF of 64

GAF 64 = 9% WPI [PDRS page 1-16]
14.01.00.00 - 9 - [1.4]13 - 360E - 12 - 16% PD

 www.bradfordbarthel.com 13

GAF range 61-70

14% WPI to 0% WPI

Some mild symptoms ...OR some difficulty in social, occupational, or school functioning

 www.bradfordbarthel.com 14

GAF range 51-60

29% WPI - 15% WPI

- Moderate symptoms ...OR moderate difficulty in social, occupational, or school functioning



 www.bradfordbarthel.com 15

Medical Report

Review WPI reporting

Does the doctor explain the WPI?

Evidence and Reasoning

EVIDENCE

 www.bradfordbarthel.com 16

Review data within a psyche report for inconsistencies between data and subjective conclusions.

- Current Complaints/ Symptoms:
- Current Activities:
- Interpersonal Relationships:
- Mental Status Exam (MSE):
- Psychological Testing:



 www.bradfordbarthel.com 17

Part II

How to Identify and Further Address Flaws in a Psych Report

Dr. Ron Heredia
DrRon@DrLeckartWETC.com
(310) 444-3154 O
(310) 413-0041 C
www.drleckartwetc.com

 www.bradfordbarthel.com 18

Substantial Medical Evidence

- Labor Code section 3208.3
- Psychological Disorder
- DSM diagnosis
- SUPPORT for the diagnosis

 www.bradfordbarthel.com 19

FIVE SOURCES OF DATA

1. The patient's life history and their presenting complaints or symptoms
2. The doctor's report of their Mental Status Examination
3. The psychological testing data
4. A review and discussion of the patient's medical records
5. Collateral sources of information

COMMON RED FLAGS

Essentials of a Psych Report

 20

Common RED FLAGS In a Psych Report

No qualitative description of the patient's life history and presenting complaints

- Every report must have a complete history of symptoms or complaints
- Discussion of symptoms must have FIDOC data
- Match symptoms to DSM Criteria

Did the doctor conduct the evaluation Or have someone else participate?

Methodological concerns (e.g. double Signature)



 www.bradfordbarthel.com 21

Common Red Flags In a Psych Report

The Mental Status Examination (MSE)

A Mental Status Examination produces a set of observations of the patient that are made by the doctor under reasonably controlled conditions employing a relatively standard set of examining techniques and questions in the context of a face-to-face interview: Mood, Memory, Concentration, Insight, Judgment.

- No observational data
- Inconsistent information
- Presence of summary conclusions
- Presence of complaints



 22

Common Red Flags In a Psych Report

Psychological Testing

A credible Psych report should have some form of objective psychological data that can be presented to the court that supports the doctor's conclusions

- Administering tests without validity scales
- Ignoring validity scale red flags
- Impossible MMPI-2 Scores
- No tests measuring the patient's credibility



 www.bradfordbarthel.com 23

Common Red Flags In a Psych Report

A review and discussion of the patient's medical records:

Doctor did not review the records themselves!

- No record review
- Medical records don't agree with diagnosis
- No credible mental health practitioners who agree with their diagnosis



 www.bradfordbarthel.com 24

Common Red Flags In a Psych Report

❑ Collateral Sources of Information

A credible report may have collateral sources of information in the form of interview data collected from friends, relatives and/or co-workers or business associates of the patient

➤ Not always found in psych reports



BB www.bradfordbarthel.com 25

How To Expose the Major Flaws in a Psych Report

An Apricot™ report:

- is used to cross-examine the doctor and write briefs for the courts demonstrating the substantial problems present in the doctor's report
- describes a psych report's flaws in jargon-free, non-technical language
- discusses specific techniques used to Cx the doctors
- provides multiple simple questions that get those flaws on the record
- is useful in any jurisdiction and is not subject to discovery
- Saves \$\$\$



BB 26

1. Never ask the doctor about the patient, only ask about the contents of their report
2. Focus all of your questions on the doctor's diagnosis
3. Be persistent in questioning!
4. Always determine if the doctor has taken a complete history of the patient's symptoms or complaints that supports their diagnosis
5. Always determine if the doctor has provided a credible patient history that supports their diagnosis
6. Always determine if the doctor has provided a credible report of their Mental Status Examination that supports their diagnosis
7. Always determine if the doctor has provided psychological testing data that supports their diagnosis

Rules and Tips



BB www.bradfordbarthel.com 27

Contact Dr. Heredia:

Dr. Ron Heredia
 DrRon@DrLeckartWETC.com
 (310) 444-3154 O
 (310) 413-0041 C
 www.drleckartwetc.com



BB 28

Contact Tim Mussack:

Director, AMA Analysis and PD Valuations
 Bradford & Barthel, LLP
 (916) 569-0790
tmussack@bradfordbarthel.com



AMA Analysis & Ratings
ratings@bradfordbarthel.com

BB www.bradfordbarthel.com 29

T H A N K S Y O U

- Certificates will be emailed w/in 24 hours.
- Access today's PPT at www.bradfordbarthel.com
- A video recording of today's Webinar will be posted on our website.
- Register now for our next Webinar on **September 14, 2021 at 12pm with Don Barthel & Amir Adil on Affirmative Defenses.**

BB www.bradfordbarthel.com 30